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# Overview

Software development at Green Pace requires consistent implementation of secure principles to all developed applications. Consistent approaches and methodologies must be maintained through all policies that are uniformly defined, implemented, governed, and maintained over time.

# Purpose

This policy defines the core security principles; C/C++ coding standards; authorization, authentication, and auditing standards; and data encryption standards. This article explains the differences between policy, standards, principles, and practices (guidelines and procedure): [Understanding the Hierarchy of Principles, Policies, Standards, Procedures, and Guidelines](https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/understanding-hierarchy-principles-policies-standards-wally-beddoe/).

# Scope

This document applies to all staff that create, deploy, or support custom software at Green Pace.

# Module Three Milestone

## Ten Core Security Principles

| **Principles** | Write a short paragraph explaining each of the 10 principles of security. |
| --- | --- |
| 1. ValidateInput Data | Validate input from all untrusted data sources. Proper input validation can eliminate the vast majority of software vulnerabilities. Be suspicious of most external data sources, including command line arguments, network interfaces, environmental variables, and user-controlled files |
| 1. Heed Compiler Warnings | This simply means users should compile code using the highest warning level from the compiler and the warnings should be eliminated by modifying the code. |
| 1. Architect and Design for Security Policies | Create a software architecture and design your software to implement and enforce security policies. For example, if your system requires different privileges at different times, consider dividing the system into distinct intercommunicating subsystems, each with an appropriate privilege set. |
| 1. Keep It Simple | Keep the design as simple and small as possible. Complex designs increase the likelihood that errors will be made in their implementation, configuration, and use. Additionally, the effort required to achieve an appropriate level of assurance increases dramatically as security mechanisms become more complex. |
| 1. Default Deny | Base access decisions on permission rather than exclusion. This means that, by default, access is denied and the protection scheme identifies conditions under which access is permitted |
| 1. Adhere to the Principle of Least Privilege | Processes that run script or execute code should run under a least privileged account to limit the potential damage that can be done if the process is compromised. If a malicious user manages to inject code into a server process, the privileges granted to that process determine to a large degree the types of operations the user is able to perform. Code that requires additional trust (and raised privileges) should be isolated within separate processes. |
| 1. Sanitize Data Sent to Other Systems | Sanitize all data passed to complex subsystems such as command shells, relational databases, and commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) components. Attackers may be able to invoke unused functionality in these components through the use of SQL, command, or other injection attacks. This is not necessarily an input validation problem because the complex subsystem being invoked does not understand the context in which the call is made. Because the calling process understands the context, it is responsible for sanitizing the data before invoking the subsystem. |
| 1. Practice Defense in Depth | This is basically checkpointing each of the layers and subsystems with the application. The checkpoint are the gate keepers that ensure only authenticated and authorized users are able to access the next downstream layer. |
| 1. Use Effective Quality Assurance Techniques | Good quality assurance techniques can be effective in identifying and eliminating vulnerabilities. Fuzz testing, penetration testing, and source code audits should all be incorporated as part of an effective quality assurance program. Independent security reviews can lead to more secure systems. External reviewers bring an independent perspective; for example, in identifying and correcting invalid assumptions |
| 1. Adopt a Secure Coding Standard | Develop and/or apply a secure coding standard for your target development language and platform. |

## C/C++ Ten Coding Standards

Complete the coding standards portion of the template according to the Module Three milestone requirements. In Project One, follow the instructions to add a layer of security to the existing coding standards. Please start each standard on a new page, as they may take up more than one page. The first seven coding standards are labeled by category. The last three are blank so you may choose three additional standards. Be sure to label them by category and give them a sequential number for that category. Add compliant and noncompliant sections as needed to each coding standard.

### Coding Standard 1

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Data Type** | STD-001-CPP | Obey the one-definition rule |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this noncompliant code example, two different translation units define a class of the same name with differing definitions. Although the two definitions are functionally equivalent (they both define a class named S with a single, public, nonstatic data member int a), they are not defined using the same sequence of tokens. This code example violates the ODR and results in undefined behavior. |
| // a.cpp  struct S {  int a;  };    // b.cpp  class S {  public:  int a;  }; |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| The correct mitigation depends on programmer intent. If the programmer intends for the same class definition to be visible in both translation units because of common usage, the solution is to use a header file to introduce the object into both translation units, as shown in this compliant solution. |
| // S.h  struct S {  int a;  };    // a.cpp  #include "S.h"    // b.cpp  #include "S.h" |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

### Coding Standard 2

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Data Value** | STD-002-CPP | Do not cast to an out-of-range enumeration value |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| This noncompliant code example attempts to check whether a given value is within the range of acceptable enumeration values. However, it is doing so after casting to the enumeration type, which may not be able to represent the given integer value. |
| enum EnumType {    First,    Second,    Third  };    void f(**int** intVar) {    EnumType enumVar = static\_cast<EnumType>(intVar);      if (enumVar < First || enumVar > Third) {      // Handle error    }  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| This compliant solution checks that the value can be represented by the enumeration type before performing the conversion to guarantee the conversion does not result in an unspecified value. It does this by restricting the converted value to one for which there is a specific enumerator value. |
| enum EnumType {    First,    Second,    Third  };    void f(**int** intVar) {    if (intVar < First || intVar > Third) {      // Handle error    }    EnumType enumVar = static\_cast<EnumType>(intVar);  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Medium | Unlikely | Medium | P4 | L3 |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Axivion Bauhaus Suit | 6.9.0 | CertC++-INT50 |  |
| Helix QAC | 2021.1 | C++3013 |  |
| Parasoft C/C++test | 2021.1 | CERT\_CPP-INT50-a | An expression with enum underlying type shall only have values corresponding to the enumerators of the enumeration |
| PRQA QA-C++ | 4.4 | 3013 |  |

### 

### Coding Standard 3

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **String Correctness** | STD-003-CPP | Do not attempt to create a std::string from a null pointer |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this noncompliant code example, a std::string object is created from the results of a call to std::getenv(). However, because std::getenv() returns a null pointer on failure, this code can lead to undefined behavior when the environment variable does not exist (or some other error occurs). |
| #include <cstdlib>  #include <string>    void f() {    std::string tmp(std::**getenv**("TMP"));    if (!tmp.empty()) {      // ...    }  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this compliant solution, the results from the call to std::getenv() are checked for null before the std::string object is constructed. |
| #include <cstdlib>  #include <string>    void f() {  const char \*tmpPtrVal = std::getenv("TMP");  std::string tmp(tmpPtrVal ? tmpPtrVal : "");  if (!tmp.empty()) {  // ...  }  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| High | High | Medium | P18 | L1 |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Astrée | 20.10 | **assert\_failure** |  |
| Helix QAC | 2021.1 | **C++4770, C++4771, C++4772, C++4773, C++4774** |  |
| Klocwork | 2021.1 | NPD.CHECK.CALL.MIGHT  NPD.CHECK.CALL.MUST  NPD.CHECK.MIGHT  NPD.CHECK.MUST  NPD.CONST.CALL  NPD.CONST.DEREF  NPD.FUNC.CALL.MIGHT  NPD.FUNC.CALL.MUST  NPD.FUNC.MIGHT  NPD.FUNC.MUST  NPD.GEN.CALL.MIGHT  NPD.GEN.CALL.MUST  NPD.GEN.MIGHT  NPD.GEN.MUST  RNPD.CALL  RNPD.DEREF |  |
| [Insert text.] | 2021.1 | CERT\_CPP-STR51-a | Avoid null pointer dereferencing |

### Coding Standard 4

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SQL Injection** | STD-004-CPP | [Rationalize the standard.] |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| [Noncompliant description] |
| [Noncompliant code block; code should be indented using 12-point Courier New font.] |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| [Compliant description] |
| [Compliant code block; code should be indented using 12-point Courier New font.] |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

### Coding Standard 5

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Memory Protection** | STD-005-CPP | Do not call a deallocation function on anything other than  nullptr , or a pointer returned by the corresponding allocation function described by the following. |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this noncompliant code example, the local variable space is passed as the expression to the placement new operator. The resulting pointer of that call is then passed to ::operator delete(), resulting in undefined behavior due to ::operator delete() attempting to free memory that was not returned by ::operator new(). |
| #include <iostream>    struct S {  S() { std::cout << "S::S()" << std::endl; }  ~S() { std::cout << "S::~S()" << std::endl; }  };    void f() {  alignas(struct S) char space[sizeof(struct S)];  S \*s1 = new (&space) S;    // ...    delete s1;  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| This compliant solution removes the call to ::operator delete(), instead explicitly calling s1's destructor. This is one of the few times when explicitly invoking a destructor is warranted. |
| #include <iostream>    struct S {  S() { std::cout << "S::S()" << std::endl; }  ~S() { std::cout << "S::~S()" << std::endl; }  };    void f() {  alignas(struct S) char space[sizeof(struct S)];  S \*s1 = new (&space) S;    // ...    s1->~S();  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| High | Likely | Medium | P18 | L1 |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Astrée | 20.10 | invalid\_dynamic\_memory\_allocation  dangling\_pointer\_use |  |
| Axivion Bauhaus Suite | 6.90 | CertC++-MEM51 |  |
| Clang | 3.9 | clang-analyzer-cplusplus.NewDeleteLeaks  -Wmismatched-new-delete  clang-analyzer-unix.MismatchedDeallocator | Checked by clang-tidy, but does not catch all violations of this rule |
| CodeSonar | 6.0p0 | ALLOC.FNH  ALLOC.DF  ALLOC.TM | Free non-heap variable  Double free  Type mismatch |

### Coding Standard 6

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Assertions** | STD-006-C | Use a static assertion to test the value of a constant expression |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| This noncompliant code uses the assert() macro to assert a property concerning a memory-mapped structure that is essential for the code to behave correctly: |
| #include <assert.h>    struct timer {  unsigned char MODE;  unsigned int DATA;  unsigned int COUNT;  };    int func(void) {  assert(sizeof(struct timer) == sizeof(unsigned char) + sizeof(unsigned int) + sizeof(unsigned int));  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| For assertions involving only constant expressions, a preprocessor conditional statement may be used, as in this compliant solution: |
| struct timer {  unsigned char MODE;  unsigned int DATA;  unsigned int COUNT;  };    #if (sizeof(struct timer) != (sizeof(unsigned char) + sizeof(unsigned int) + sizeof(unsigned int)))  #error "Structure must not have any padding"  #endif |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Low | Unlikely | High | P1 | L3 |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Axivion Bauhaus Suite | 6.9.0 | CertC-DCL03 |  |
| Clang | 3.9 | misc-static-assert | Checked by clang-tidy |
| CodeSonar | 6.0p0 | (customization) | Users can implement a custom check that reports uses of the assert() macro |
| Compass/ROSE |  |  | Could detect violations of this rule merely by looking for calls to assert(), and if it can evaluate the assertion (due to all values being known at compile time), then the code should use static-assert instead; this assumes ROSE can recognize macro invocation |

### Coding Standard 7

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Exceptions** | STD-007-CPP | Handle all exceptions |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this noncompliant code example, neither f() nor main() catch exceptions thrown by throwing\_func(). Because no matching handler can be found for the exception thrown, std::terminate() is called. |
| void throwing\_func() noexcept(false);    void f() {  throwing\_func();  }    int main() {  f();  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this compliant solution, the main entry point handles all exceptions, which ensures that the stack is unwound up to the main() function and allows for graceful management of external resources. |
| void throwing\_func() noexcept(false);    void f() {  throwing\_func();  }    int main() {  try {  f();  } catch (...) {  // Handle error  }  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Low | Probable | Medium | P4 | L3 |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Astrée | 20.10 | main-function-catch-all  early-catch-all | Partially checked |
| Axivion Bauhaus Suite | 6.9.0 | CertC++-ERR51 |  |
| Helix QAC | 2021.1 | C++4035, C++4036, C++4037 |  |
| LDRA tool suite | 9.7.1 | 527 S | Partially implemented |

### Coding Standard 8

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Input Output | STD-008-CPP | Be careful using functions that use file names for identification |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this noncompliant code example, the function chmod() is called to set the permissions of a file. However, it is not clear whether the file object referred to by file\_name refers to the same object in the call to fopen() and in the call to chmod(). |
| char \*file\_name;  FILE \*f\_ptr;    /\* Initialize file\_name \*/    f\_ptr = fopen(file\_name, "w");  if (f\_ptr == NULL) {  /\* Handle error \*/  }    /\* ... \*/    if (chmod(file\_name, S\_IRUSR) == -1) {  /\* Handle error \*/  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| This compliant solution uses the POSIX fchmod() and open() functions [IEEE Std 1003.1:2013]. Using these functions guarantees that the file opened is the same file that is operated on. |
| char \*file\_name;  int fd;    /\* Initialize file\_name \*/    fd = open(  file\_name,  O\_WRONLY | O\_CREAT | O\_EXCL,  S\_IRWXU  );  if (fd == -1) {  /\* Handle error \*/  }    /\* ... \*/    if (fchmod(fd, S\_IRUSR) == -1) {  /\* Handle error \*/  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Medium | Likely | Medium | P12 | L1 |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Coverity | 6.5 | TOCTOU | Fully implemented |
| Helix QAC | 2021.1 | C5011 |  |
| Klocwork | 2021.1 | SV.TOCTOU.FILE\_ACCESS |  |
| LDRA tool suite | 9.7.1 | 592 S | Fully implemented |

### Coding Standard 9

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Concurrency | STD-009-CPP | Do not destroy a mutex while it is locked |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| This noncompliant code example creates several threads that each invoke the do\_work() function, passing a unique number as an ID.  Unfortunately, this code contains a race condition, allowing the mutex to be destroyed while it is still owned, because start\_threads() may invoke the mutex's destructor before all of the threads have exited. |
| #include <mutex>  #include <thread>    const size\_t maxThreads = 10;    void do\_work(size\_t i, std::mutex \*pm) {  std::lock\_guard<std::mutex> lk(\*pm);    // Access data protected by the lock.  }    void start\_threads() {  std::thread threads[maxThreads];  std::mutex m;    for (size\_t i = 0; i < maxThreads; ++i) {  threads[i] = std::thread(do\_work, i, &m);  }  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| This compliant solution eliminates the race condition by extending the lifetime of the mutex. |
| #include <mutex>  #include <thread>    const size\_t maxThreads = 10;    void do\_work(size\_t i, std::mutex \*pm) {  std::lock\_guard<std::mutex> lk(\*pm);    // Access data protected by the lock.  }    std::mutex m;    void start\_threads() {  std::thread threads[maxThreads];    for (size\_t i = 0; i < maxThreads; ++i) {  threads[i] = std::thread(do\_work, i, &m);  }  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Medium | Probable | High | P4 | L3 |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Helix QAC | 2021.1 | C++4961, C++4962 |  |
| Klocwork | 2021.1 | CERT.CONC.MUTEX.DESTROY\_WHILE\_LOCKED |  |
| Parasoft C/C++test | 2021.1 | CERT\_CPP-CON50-a | Do not destroy another thread's mutex |
| Polyspace Bug Finder | R2020a | CERT C++: CON50-CPP | Checks for destruction of locked mutex (rule partially covered) |

### Coding Standard 10

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Containers | STD-010-CPP | Use valid iterator ranges |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this noncompliant example, the two iterators that delimit the range point into the same container, but the first iterator does not precede the second. On each iteration of its internal loop, std::for\_each() compares the first iterator (after incrementing it) with the second for equality; as long as they are not equal, it will continue to increment the first iterator. Incrementing the iterator representing the past-the-end element of the range results in undefined behavior. |
| #include <algorithm>  #include <iostream>  #include <vector>    void f(const std::vector<int> &c) {  std::for\_each(c.end(), c.begin(), [](int i) { std::cout << i; });  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this compliant solution, the iterator values passed to std::for\_each() are passed in the proper order. |
| #include <algorithm>  #include <iostream>  #include <vector>    void f(const std::vector<int> &c) {  std::for\_each(c.begin(), c.end(), [](int i) { std::cout << i; });  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| High | Probable | High | P6 | L2 |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Astrée | 20.10 | overflow\_upon\_dereference |  |
| Helix QAC | 2021.1 | C++3802 |  |
| Parasoft C/C++test | 2021.1 | CERT\_CPP-CTR53-a  CERT\_CPP-CTR53-b | Do not use an iterator range that isn't really a range  Do not compare iterators from different containers |
| PRQA QA-C++ | 4.4 | 3802 |  |

## Defense-in-Depth Illustration

This illustration provides a visual representation of the defense-in-depth best practice of layered security.



# Project One

There are seven steps outlined below that align with the elements you will be graded on in the accompanying rubric. When you complete these steps, you will have finished the security policy.

## Revise the C/C++ Standards

You completed one of these tables for each of your standards in the Module Three milestone. In Project One, add revisions to improve the explanation and examples as needed. Add rows to accommodate additional examples of compliant and noncompliant code. Coding standards begin on the security policy.

## Risk Assessment

Complete this section on the coding standards tables. Enter high, medium, or low for each of the headers, then rate it overall using a scale from 1 to 5, 5 being the greatest threat. You will address each of the seven policy standards. Fill in the columns of severity, likelihood, remediation cost, priority, and level using the values provided in the appendix.

## Automated Detection

Complete this section of each table on the coding standards to show the tools that may be used to detect issues. Provide the tool name, version, checker, and description. List one or more tools that can automatically detect this issue and its version number, name of the rule or check (preferably with link), and any relevant comments or description—if any. This table ties to a specific C++ coding standard.

## Automation

Provide a written explanation using the image provided.



Automation will be used for the enforcement of and compliance to the standards defined in this policy. Green Pace already has a well-established DevOps process and infrastructure. Define guidance on where and how to modify the existing DevOps process to automate enforcement of the standards in this policy. Use the DevSecOps diagram and provide an explanation using that diagram as context.

[Insert your written explanations here.]

## Summary of Risk Assessments

Consolidate all risk assessments into one table including both coding and systems standards, ordered by standard number.

| Rule | Severity | Likelihood | Remediation Cost | Priority | Level |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| STD-001-CPP | High | Unlikely | Medium | High | 2 |
| STD-002-CPP | Medium | Unlikely | Medium | P4 | L3 |
| STD-003-CPP | High | Likely | Medium | P18 | L1 |
| STD-004-CPP | High | Likely | Medium | P18 | L1 |
| STD-005-CPP | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| STD-006-CPP | Low | Unlikely | High | P1 | L3 |
| STD-007-CPP | Low | Probable | Medium | P4 | L3 |
| STD-008-CPP | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| STD-009-CPP | Medium | Probable | High | P4 | L3 |
| STD-010-CPP | High | Probable | High | P6 | L2 |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

## Create Policies for Encryption and Triple A

Include all three types of encryption (in flight, at rest, and in use) and each of the three elements of the Triple-A framework using the tables provided***.***

* 1. Explain each type of encryption, how it is used, and why and when the policy applies.
  2. Explain each type of Triple-A framework strategy, how it is used, and why and when the policy applies.

Write policies for each and explain what it is, how it should be applied in practice, and why it should be used.

| 1. **Encryption** | **Explain what it is and how and why the policy applies.** |
| --- | --- |
| Encryption in rest | Encryption at rest is designed to prevent the attacker from accessing the unencrypted data by ensuring the data is encrypted when on disk. If an attacker obtains a hard drive with encrypted data but not the encryption keys, the attacker must defeat the encryption to read the data |
| Encryption at flight | The process of encrypting data while the data is being transmitted. In some applications, such as remote replication, data may be unencrypted while it is at rest on drive arrays, but encrypted while it is being transmitted to provide protection. |
| Encryption in use | In-Use encryption takes a new approach that ensures that sensitive data is never left unsecured, regardless of or lifecycle stage (at rest, in transit, or in use) source, or location (on premise, cloud, or hybrid). |

| 1. **Triple-A Framework\*** | **Explain what it is and how and why the policy applies.** |
| --- | --- |
| Authentication | Authentication is the act of validating that user are whom they claim to be. This is the first step in any security process. |
| Authorization | Authorization in system security is the process of giving the user permission to access a specific resource or function. This term is often used interchangeably with access control or client privilege. |
| Accounting | Accounting is the process of taking into consideration all things are require in the implementation of a secure application. |

**\***Use this checklist for the Triple A to be sure you include these elements in your policy:

* User logins
* Changes to the database
* Addition of new users
* User level of access
* Files accessed by users

## Map the Principles

Map the principles to each of the standards, and provide a justification for the connection between the two. In the Module Three milestone, you added definitions for each of the 10 principles provided. Now it’s time to connect the standards to principles to show how they are supported by principles. You may have more than one principle for each standard, and the principles may be used more than once. Principles are numbered 1 through 10. You will list the number or numbers that apply to each standard, then explain how each of these principles supports the standard. This exercise demonstrates that you have based your security policy on widely accepted principles. Linking principles to standards is a best practice.

**NOTE:** Green Pace has already successfully implemented the following:

* Operating system logs
* Firewall logs
* Anti-malware logs

The only item you must complete beyond this point is the Policy Version History table.

# Audit Controls and Management

Every software development effort must be able to provide evidence of compliance for each software deployed into any Green Pace managed environment.

Evidence will include the following:

* Code compliance to standards
* Well-documented access-control strategies, with sampled evidence of compliance
* Well-documented data-control standards defining the expected security posture of data at rest, in flight, and in use
* Historical evidence of sustained practice (emails, logs, audits, meeting notes)

# Enforcement

The office of the chief information security officer (OCISO) will enforce awareness and compliance of this policy, producing reports for the risk management committee (RMC) to review monthly. Every system deployed in any environment operated by Green Pace is expected to be in compliance with this policy at all times.

Staff members, consultants, or employees found in violation of this policy will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination.

# Exceptions Process

Any exception to the standards in this policy must be requested in writing with the following information:

* Business or technical rationale
* Risk impact analysis
* Risk mitigation analysis
* Plan to come into compliance
* Date for when the plan to come into compliance will be completed

Approval for any exception must be granted by chief information officer (CIO) and the chief information security officer (CISO) or their appointed delegates of officer level.

Exceptions will remain on file with the office of the CISO, which will administer and govern compliance.

# Distribution

This policy is to be distributed to all Green Pace IT staff annually. All IT staff will need to certify acceptance and awareness of this policy annually.

# Policy Change Control

This policy will be automatically reviewed annually, no later than 365 days from the last revision date. Further, it will be reviewed in response to regulatory or compliance changes, and on demand as determined by the OCISO.

# Policy Version History

| Version | Date | Description | Edited By | Approved By |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1.0 | 08/05/2020 | Initial Template | David Buksbaum |  |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

# Appendix A Lookups

## Approved C/C++ Language Acronyms

| Language | Acronym |
| --- | --- |
| C++ | CPP |
| C | CLG |
| Java | JAV |